New records of phorid flies (Diptera, Phoridae) from Rio Grande do Sul, with five new records to Brazil
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Abstract
This compilation is the result of identification of material recently collected from Southern Brazil. Forty-one new records of Phoridae are recorded for the state of Rio Grande do Sul, and the species Eibsfeldtphora cumsaltensis Disney 2009, Megaselia (M.) gracilipalpis Borgmeier 1969, Megaselia (Aphiochaeta) latimanus (Malloch, 1914), Melaloncha curvata Brown, 2005, and Trophodeirus vicinus (Borgmeier, 1963) are recorded for the first time in Brazil. Species are distributed in 18 genera and 3 subfamilies. The total known diversity of phorids in the state is augmented from 10 to 51 species. An updated distribution for Brazil is presented for each species.

Key words
Diversity; distribution; Neotropical region; Pampa biome; Scuttle flies.

Introduction
Phoridae is a family of small or very minute flies, which is among the richest families of Diptera. Estimated total diversity of the family ranges from 25 to 50 thousand species (Disney 1983, Gaston 1991); however, only about 4000 species are currently known to science (Ament and Brown 2016). A large part of these undescribed species is believed to be from the Neotropical Region, which remains in general largely undersampled.

The distributions of phorid species are also considerably unknown, with many species known only from their type locality. Most of the knowledge on phorid distribution in Brazil is based on the studies of Father Thomas Borgmeier who published over a hundred articles describing more than a thousand species of phorids (Kempf 1972). Unfortunately, the material Borgmeier used in his studies is mostly from the states of Santa Catarina and Rio de Janeiro, what causes a bias in the knowledge on the species distribution.

According to Ament and Pereira (2017) there are currently 851 species of Phoridae known for the country, although estimates suggest a diversity of up to 5,000 species (Carvalho et al. 2012).

Surveys of Brachycera dipterans fauna are scarce in Rio Grande do Sul state. The diversity of the order in this state is mostly known from few families, such as Calliphoridae (Vianna et al. 1998, Azevedo et al. 2013),...

Only 10 Phoridae species are known for the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (Borgmeier 1968). Therefore, this study aims to better document the phorid fauna of Rio Grande do Sul, by presenting a checklist of species, with their distribution, known to occur in this state. With this objective, we analyzed a large amount of material recently collected in Rio Grande do Sul.

Methods
The material used in this study was collected by RFK and collaborators in 41 localities along the coastal plains of the Rio Grande do Sul (Fig. 1), mostly through Malaise traps.

Traps were placed around rivulets and streams within forest fragments in the municipalities of Camaquã, Pelotas, São Lourenço do Sul, Tavares and Turuçu; they were also placed inside protected preservation areas, namely RPPN Barba Negra, the state parks of Itapeva, Itapuã, and José Lutzemberg (P.E. Guarita), and TAIM Ecological Station. Collecting permits were granted by Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio) and Instituto Brasileiro de Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis (IBAMA). Additional material was collected by Malaise traps in the municipality of Ijuí, northwestern Rio Grande do Sul.

Complete collection data are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1.

Table 1. Collections sites in Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil date, collectors, locality and geographic coordinates. (* = sites with new records for Brazil).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>Locality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Areal, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Areal, Point 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Areal, Point 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Areal, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata da Galatéia, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata da Galatéia, Point 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata da Galatéia, Point 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata da Galatéia, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>04.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata da Galatéia, Point 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>05.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata Grande, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11*</td>
<td>05.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata Grande, Point 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>05.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata Grande, Point 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>05.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Pelotas, Mata Grande, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14*</td>
<td>06.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Correntes, Fzmda. Correntes, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>06.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Correntes, Fzmda. Correntes, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>07.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Correntes, Dunas, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19*</td>
<td>07.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Correntes, Dunas, Point 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20*</td>
<td>07.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Correntes, Dunas, Point 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21*</td>
<td>07.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Pelotas, Arroio Correntes, Dunas, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>08.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Turuçu, Arroio Grande, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>08.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Turuçu, Arroio Grande, Point 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>08.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Turuçu, Arroio Grande, Point 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>08.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Turuçu, Arroio Grande, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>08.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>São Lourenço do Sul, Foz do Arroio Grande, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>27.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Barra do Ribeiro, RPPN Barba Negra, Mata da faxina, Point 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>27.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Barra do Ribeiro, RPPN Barba Negra, Mata da faxina, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>27.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Barra do Ribeiro, RPPN Barba Negra, Morro da Formiga, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>27.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Barra do Ribeiro, RPPN Barba Negra, Morro da Formiga, Point 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>27.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Camaquã, Pacheva, Rio Camaquã, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>27.xi.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Camaquã, Pacheva, Rio Camaquã, Point 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>20.ii.2012</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Viamão, Parque Estadual Itapuã, Mata Grande, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>22.ii.2012</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Torres, Parque Estadual Itapeva, Mata do Morro, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>22.ii.2012</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Torres, Parque Estadual Itapeva, Dunas, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>22.ii.2012</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Torres, P. E. Guarita, Point 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>15.xii.2011</td>
<td>R.F.K., F.D.K.</td>
<td>Rio Grande, ESEC Taim / Nicola, Trevo 150m, Point 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42*</td>
<td>15.xii.2011</td>
<td>Marques, R.</td>
<td>Ijuí, Inder Campo I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43*</td>
<td>15.xii.2011</td>
<td>Marques, R.</td>
<td>Ijuí, Inder Campo II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>15.xii.2011</td>
<td>Marques, R.</td>
<td>Ijuí, Inder Mato I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45*</td>
<td>15.xii.2011</td>
<td>Marques, R.</td>
<td>Ijuí, Inder Milho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46*</td>
<td>15.xii.2011</td>
<td>Marques, R.</td>
<td>Ijuí, Inder Sorgo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>22.xii.2011</td>
<td>Ribeiro, P.S.</td>
<td>Capão do Leão, Horto Botânico Irmão Teodoroto Luis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>18.iii.2013</td>
<td>Medeiros, R.</td>
<td>Capão do Leão, Horto Botânico Irmão Teodoroto Luis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voucher specimens are deposited in the entomological collection Padre Jesus Santiago Moure, Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Paraná (DZUP) and in the collection of the Laboratório de Ecologia de Parasitos e Vetores, Departamento de Microbiologia e Parasitologia, Universidade Federal de Pelotas (COLEPAV).

The subfamily classification presented herein follows the phylogeny proposed by Brown et al. (2015).

Results

A total of 2,187 specimens of phorids belonging to 3 subfamilies, 18 genera, and 41 species were identified. All 41 species are recorded for the first time in the state and *Eibsfeldphora cumsaltensis* Disney, 2009, *Megaselia (M.) gracilipalpis* Borgmeier, 1969, *Megaselia (A.) latimanus* (Malloch, 1914), *Mellalonica curvata* Brown,
2005, and *Trophodeinus vicinus* (Borgmeier, 1963) are recorded for the first time in Brazil.

To compare the new and previously published distribution records from the state, Table 2 shows the previous records from Borgmeier’s catalogue (1968) for the state. An asterisk (*) denotes a new record for Brazil.

Class Insecta
Order Diptera
Family Phoridae
Subfamily Metopininae

*Allochaeta longiciliata* Borgmeier, 1926

*Allochaeta longiciliata* Borgmeier 1926: 46, pl. 2, fig. 5, pl. 3, fig. 8.

*Allochaeta propinqua* Borgmeier 1926: 48, pl. 2, fig. 6; 1928: 120 (syn).

Material examined. Point 1 (1♂, DZUP); point 6 (1♂, DZUP); point 8 (2♂, DZUP); point 14 (4♂, DZUP); point 16 (1♂, DZUP); point 18 (2♂, DZUP); point 19 (27♂, DZUP); ♀, DZUP); point 21 (12♂, DZUP); point 24 (1♂, DZUP); point 26 (2♂, DZUP); point 27 (3♂, DZUP); point 29 (4♂, DZUP).

Diagnostic characters. Foremetatarsus not enlarged; wing with more than 10 pairs of long costal cilia present in costal vein (Borgmeier, 1926).

Distribution. South and southeastern Brazil.


*Apocephalus piliventris* Borgmeier, 1925: Figure 5

*Apocephalus piliventris* Borgmeier 1925: 186, figs. 18–19, pl. 8, fig. 39.

Material examined. Point 3 (2♀, COLEPA V); point 14 (1♀, DZUP 459502); point 19 (1♀, COLEPA V).

Diagnostic characters. This species is recognizable by the structure of the tubular ovipositor, with median furrow.

Distribution. South and southeastern Brazil.


*Apodicrania termophila* (Borgmeier, 1923)

*Syncera termophila* Borgmeier 1923: 57, fig. 6.


Material examined. Point 20 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 21 (1♂, COLEPA V)

Diagnostic characters. Dark, shining body and head color and distinctly divergent fourth and fifth vein.

Distribution. Antilles, Brazil, and Central America.

Updated distribution for Brazil. Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier, 1925: Figures 6, 7

*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier 1925: 132, pl. III, fig. 3.

Material examined. Point 19 (1♂, DZUP 459512); point 25 (1♂, COLEPA V).

Diagnostic characters. Scutellum with four equal setae; 2 laterally directed supra-antennal setae; anepisternum bare, and yellow colored halters.

Distribution. South and southeastern Brazil.


*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier, 1925: Figures 6, 7

*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier 1925: 132, pl. III, fig. 3.

Material examined. Point 19 (1♂, DZUP 459512); point 25 (1♂, COLEPA V).

Diagnostic characters. Scutellum with four equal setae; 2 laterally directed supra-antennal setae; anepisternum bare, and yellow colored halters.

Distribution. South and southeastern Brazil.


*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier, 1925: Figures 6, 7

*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier 1925: 132, pl. III, fig. 3.

Material examined. Point 19 (1♂, DZUP 459512); point 25 (1♂, COLEPA V).

Diagnostic characters. Scutellum with four equal setae; 2 laterally directed supra-antennal setae; anepisternum bare, and yellow colored halters.

Distribution. South and southeastern Brazil.


*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier, 1925: Figures 6, 7

*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier 1925: 132, pl. III, fig. 3.

Material examined. Point 19 (1♂, DZUP 459512); point 25 (1♂, COLEPA V).

Diagnostic characters. Scutellum with four equal setae; 2 laterally directed supra-antennal setae; anepisternum bare, and yellow colored halters.

Distribution. South and southeastern Brazil.


*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier, 1925: Figures 6, 7

*Beckerina latehalterata* Borgmeier 1925: 132, pl. III, fig. 3.

Material examined. Point 19 (1♂, DZUP 459512); point 25 (1♂, COLEPA V).

Diagnostic characters. Scutellum with four equal setae; 2 laterally directed supra-antennal setae; anepisternum bare, and yellow colored halters.

Distribution. South and southeastern Brazil.


*Gymnophora (Cerocratia) aemula* Borgmeier 1960: Figure 10

*Gymnophora (Cerocratia) aemula* Borgmeier 1960: 292, fig. 50.


Material examined. Point 20 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 21 (1♂, COLEPA V)

Diagnostic characters. Species of this genus can only be reliably determined by the male terminalia. This species

### Table 2. Previous known records of Phoridae from Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (Borgmeier 1968).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Locality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Apocephalus cromatus</em> Borgmeier 1958</td>
<td>Pareci Novo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cataclinusabucki</em> Schmitz 1927</td>
<td>Nova Petrópolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Colobomeles ramboi</em> Borgmeier 1927</td>
<td>Pareci Novo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Conicera megalodus</em> Schmitz 1927</td>
<td>Porto Alegre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ecitomyia luteolata</em> Borgmeier &amp; Schmitz 1923</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ectophora fidelis</em> Borgmeier 1960</td>
<td>Porto Alegre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ectoptera ciliata</em> Borgmeier 1923</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudacteon solenopsidis</em> (Schmitz) 1914</td>
<td>Porto Alegre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pulliciphora rufipes</em> Silva Figueroa 1916</td>
<td>Pareci Novo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thaliphotera emarginata</em> Schmitz 1935</td>
<td>Pareci Novo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is distinguished from others by the apex of right surstyli being narrower or of equal width to base and the left surstyli merging with ventral margin of epandrium.

**Distribution.** Southern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Paraná, Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

**Gymnophora (Gymnophora) brasiliensis** Borgmeier 1960
Gymnophora (Gymnophora) brasiliensis Borgmeier 1960: 289, figs 45–46, 56.

**Material examined.** Point 4 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 6 (3♀ 8♂, DZUP 459520); point 7 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 14 (1♀ 3♂, COLEPA V); point 15 (2♀ 3♂, COLEPA V); point 19 (2♀ 2♂, COLEPA V); point 21 (1♀ 1♂, DZUP 459521); point 24 (1♀, COLEPA V).

**Diagnostic characters.** Costal vein thickened, with small constriction in basal third. R2+3 nearly perpendicular to costa.

**Distribution.** Southeast and southern Brazil.


**Johowia pilipleura** Borgmeier, 1960
Johowia pilipleura Borgmeier 1960: 299, fig. 54.

**Material examined.** Point 1 (1♀ 4♂, COLEPA V); point 2 (2♀ 2♂, DZUP 459549); point 3 (1♀ 2♂, DZUP 459544); point 4 (1♀ 3♂, COLEPA V); point 6 (4♂, COLEPA V); point 9 (1♂, DZUP 459547); point 34 (4♀ 3♂, COLEPA V).

**Diagnostic characters.** Apart from *J. hexachaeta* Borgmeier, 1960, this is the only other species of the genus with setulae on the anepisternum. It can be distinguished from *J. hexachaeta* by the presence of only 2 setae at the scutellum.

**Distribution.** South and southeastern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro and Rio Grande do Sul.

**Megagelia (A.) castaneipleura** Borgmeier, 1969:
Figures 11, 12

**Material examined.** Point 6 (2♂, DZUP 459556); point 19 (4♂, DZUP 459559); point 29 (1♂, COLEPA V).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species can be distinguished from all other neotropical Megagelia by the thorax reddish brown and pleura contrastingly dark castaneous Abdominal tergites 1–4 black, 5–6 orange or bright yellow. Hypopygium same color of tergites 5–6.

**Distribution.** South and southeastern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul and São Paulo.

*Megagelia (A.) latimanus* (Malloch, 1914):
Figures 13, 14


**Material examined.** Point 6 (2♂, DZUP 459575);

**Diagnostic characters.** Foretarsomeres 2 and 3 reduced. Foretarsomere 1 is longer than 2 and 3 combined.

**Updated Distribution.** Southern Brazil and Costa Rica.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul.

**Megagelia (A.) luteicauda** (Borgmeier, 1925)
Aphiochaeta luteicauda Borgmeier 1925: 145.

Megagelia (A.) luteicauda—Borgmeier 1962: 301.

**Material examined.** Point 1 (44♂, COLEPA V); point 2 (7♂, COLEPA V); point 3 (5♂, COLEPA V); point 4 (14♂, COLEPA V); point 5 (6♂, DZUP 459579); point 6 (49♂, COLEPA V); point 7 (10♂, COLEPA V); point 8 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 11 (3♂, COLEPA V); point 14 (22♂, COLEPA V); point 15 (17♂, COLEPA V); point 16 (2♂, DZUP); point 17 (5♂, DZUP); point 19 (61♂, DZUP); point 20 (47♂, COLEPA V); point 21 (192♂, DZUP 459587); point 22 (1♂, DZUP); point 25 (6♂, DZUP); point 26 (6♂, DZUP); point 27 (3♂, DZUP); point 28 (5♂, COLEPA V); point 29 (35♂, COLEPA V); point 31 (5♂, DZUP); point 33 (2♂, DZUP); point 34 (1♂, COLEPA V).

**Diagnostic characters.** Females yellow colored tergites 4–6, contrasting with the dark colored body. Males dark colored, with white halteres; scutellum with 4 equal setae; anepisternum with hairs and 1 or 2 stronger setae; and costal cilia long.

**Distribution.** South and southeastern Brazil and Dominica.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Pernambuco, Rio de Janeiro, and Rio Grande do Sul.

**Megagelia (A.) pauxilla** (Brues, 1907)
Aphiochaeta pauxilla Brues 1907: 402, pl. 8, fig. 2.


**Material examined.** Point 1 (2♂, COLEPA V); point 4 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 5 (2♂, COLEPA V); point 6 (5♂, COLEPA V); point 7 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 14 (8♂, DZUP); point 15 (4♂, COLEPA V); point 18 (1♂, DZUP); point 19 (14♂, COLEPA V); point 20 (6♂, COLEPA V); point 21 (29♂, DZUP); point 24 (2♂, COLEPA V); point 25 (8♂, DZUP); point 28 (1♂, COLEPA V); point 29 (2♂, COLEPA V).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group V of Megagelia, as defined by Borgmeier (1962), among which it can be differentiated by the long costal cilia.

**Distribution.** Argentina; south and southeastern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro and Rio Grande do Sul.
**Megaselia (A.) sinefurca** Borgmeier, 1962

*Megaselia (A.) sinefurca* Borgmeier, 1962: 303, 332, fig. 23.

**Material examined.** Point 1 (38♂, DZUP 459620); point 2 (3♂, COLEPAV); point 3 (9♂, COLEPAV); point 4 (6♂, COLEPAV); point 5 (6♂, COLEPAV); point 6 (3♂, COLEPAV); point 7 (2♂, DZUP 459618); point 14 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 15 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 20 (1♂, DZUP).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group III of *Megaselia*, as defined by Borgmeier (1962). It may be easily distinguished from other species of this group because it is the only species that does not have a thickened costal vein neither the r2 +3 vein.

**Distribution.** Southern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

**Megaselia (A.) tumidicosta** Borgmeier, 1962: Figure 15


**Material examined.** Point 1 (2♂, DZUP 459630); point 3 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 5 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 6 (28♂, COLEPAV); point 8 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 10 (19♂, DZUP 459640); point 14 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 16 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 20 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 21 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 29 (3♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group III of *Megaselia* (Borgmeier 1962) and is distinguished from the other species by the thick costal vein and the presence of vein r2 +3.

**Distribution.** Southern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

**Megaselia (A.) tumidula** Borgmeier, 1962: Figure 16


**Material examined.** Point 1 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 4 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 5 (4♂, COLEPAV); point 6 (25♂, DZUP 459644); point 7 (6♂, COLEPAV); point 8 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 10 (10♂, DZUP 459649); point 14 (5♂, COLEPAV); point 15 (21♂, DZUP 459641); point 20 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 29 (1♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group III of *Megaselia* (Borgmeier 1962). It is easily distinguished from other group III species by its thickened costal vein and lacking vein r2 +3.

**Distribution.** Southern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

**Megaselia (M.) femoralis** (Enderlein, 1912): Figure 17

*Aphiochaeta femoralis* Enderlein 1912: 30.


**Material examined.** Point 35 (DZUP 459562).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group VII of *Megaselia* (Borgmeier 1962). It may be distinguished by the following characters: hind tibia with only posterodorsal row of setae; frons glossy; costal vein not thickened; coxae yellowish-brown; fore and midlegs yellowish-brown; and hind leg dark with the basis of the femur brown-yellow.

**Distribution.** Brazil, Costa Rica, and United States of America.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

*Megaselia (M.) gracilipalpis* Borgmeier, 1969: Figures 18, 19


**Material examined.** Point 1 (1♂, DZUP 459563); point 11 (3♂, COLEPAV); point 14 (3♂, COLEPAV); point 19 (7♂, COLEPAV); point 21 (5♂, DZUP 459566).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group VIII of *Megaselia* (Borgmeier 1962). It is very easily recognizable by the slender palpi with very short setulae and fine hairs and the structure and chaetotaxy of the hypopygium.

**Distribution.** Southern Brazil and Dominica.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul.

**Megaselia (M.) incarum** (Brues, 1915): Figures 20, 21

*Aphiochaeta incarum* Brues 1915: Appendix.

*Megaselia (M.) incarum*—Borgmeier 1962: 312, 449, fig. 100.

**Material examined.** Point 19 (5♂, DZUP 459571); point 21 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 29 (1♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belong to the group VIII of *Megaselia* species (Borgmeier, 1962). It is very similar to *M. gracilipalpis* with the structure and setation of the palpi very similar. It can be distinguished from the latter by the chaetotaxy of the hypopygium with much stronger and fewer setae (Figure 21).

**Distribution.** Argentina; south and southeastern Brazil; Peru.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul, and Santa Catarina.

**Megaselia (M.) notipennis** Borgmeier, 1962:

**Figures 22, 23**

*Megaselia (M.) notipennis* Borgmeier 1962: 310, 422, figs 72, 80–81.

**Material examined.** Point 1 (30♂, COLEPAV); point 2 (4♂, COLEPAV); point 4 (9♂, COLEPAV); point 7 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 10 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 14 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 15 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 17 (3♂, COLEPAV); point 19 (66♂, COLEPAV); point 20 (5♂, COLEPAV); point 21 (130♂, COLEPAV); point 23 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 24 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 25 (6♂, COLEPAV); point 26 (12♂, COLEPAV); point 27 (5♂, COLEPAV); point 28 (4♂, COLEPAV); point 34 (6♂, COLEPAV).
**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group VII of *Megaselia* (Borgmeier 1962). This is a very striking species, easily recognizable by the unique morphology of the asymmetrical hypopygium with 2 expanded lobes. Right lobe large, spoon shaped, hollowed out in the inside face; and left lobe smaller, bifurcated.

**Distribution.** Argentina; south and southeastern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul, and Santa Catarina.

*Megaselia (M.) picta* Lehmann, 1822: Figure 24

**Phora picta** Lehmann 1822: 43, pl. 1, fig. 6.

**Aphiochaeta picta**—Brues 1904: 361, pl. 7, fig. 28.

**Megaselia (M.) picta**—Schmitz 1929: 20, 23, 187.

**Trineura interrupta** Zetterstedt 1838: 797.

**Phora interrupta**—Schiner 1864: 337.

**Trineura subquadrifasciata** Zetterstedt 1852: 4826.

**Aphiochaeta atlantica** Brues 1904: 362, pl. 7, fig. 30.


**Material examined.** Point 1 (1♂, DZUP 459593); point 2 (9♂, COLEPAV); point 3 (5♂, COLEPAV); point 4 (10♂, COLEPAV); point 6 (3♂, COLEPAV); point 10 (15♂, COLEPAV); point 11 (6♂, COLEPAV); point 14 (3♂, COLEPAV); point 15 (10♂, COLEPAV); point 16 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 17 (17♂, COLEPAV); point 19 (198♂, DZUP 459608); point 20 (115♂, COLEPAV); point 21 (298♂, DZUP 459589); point 23 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 25 (11♂, COLEPAV); point 26 (8♂, COLEPAV); point 28 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 29 (18♂, COLEPAV); point 31 (4♂, COLEPAV); point 33 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 34 (1♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to groups VI or VII of *Megaselia* (Borgmeier 1962). This is a large, mostly yellow species. The number of scutellar setae is variable in this species (2–4), although in our specimens the presence of 4 equal scutellar setae were rare. Lower inter-frontal setae placed in the eye margin, close to the lower fronto-orbital setae. Abdominal tergites yellow mostly yellow species. The number of scutellar setae is determined in the female sex.

**Distribution.** Europe; North and South America; and Oceania.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul, and Santa Catarina.

*Megaselia (M.) pleurofascia* Borgmeier—1962: Figure 25

**Megaselia (M.) pleurofascia** Borgmeier, 1962: 310, 418.

**Material examined.** Point 1 (1♂, DZUP 459613); point 4 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 11 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 17 (1♂, DZUP 459614);

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the group VII of *Megaselia* species (Borgmeier, 1962). It is easily recognizable by the light brown scutum and a broad dark stripe in the pleura, with the humeral area lighter. Legs brown-yellow and hind femur with an apical brown spot.

**Distribution.** Southern Brazil and West Indies.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

*M. curvata* Brown, 2005: Figure 27

**Melanoncha curvata** Brown 2005: 246–247, figs 4, 35.

**Material examined.** Point 45 (1♀, DZUP).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the *M. furcate*-group (Brown, 2005) and can be easily separated from all other species by the strongly curved ovipositor.

**Updated Distribution.** Argentina and southern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul.

*Melanoncha ronnai* Borgmeier, 1935: Figure 26

**Melanoncha ronnai** Borgmeier 1935: 262, fig. 14.

**Material examined.** Point 45 (1♀, DZUP 459650).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species belongs to the *M. uruguaiensis* group (Brown 2004) and can be distinguished from the other species of that group by the narrow, elongate ovipositor, with extremely short and sparse setae.

**Distribution.** Guatemala to Argentina.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Distrito Federal, Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul, and Santa Catarina.

*Myriophora uruguaiensis* Hash & Brown, 2015: Figure 28

**Myriophora uruguaiensis** Hash and Brown 2015: 47–48, figs 8.6, 14.6, 20.5, 21.16.

**Material examined.** Point 19(3♀, DZUP 459653); point 20 (1♀, COLEPAV); point 21 (3♀, DZUP 459651).

**Diagnostic characters.** Species of this genus can only be determined in the female sex. *M. uruguaiensis* can be distinguished from the others by the long, thin, weakly laterally compressed oviscape with sternite only about 1/4 to 1/3 as long as tergite and about twice as wide.

**Distribution.** Argentina; south and southeastern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Minas Gerais and Rio Grande do Sul.

*Myrmosicarius catharinensis* Borgmeier, 1928

**Myrmosicarius catharinensis** Borgmeier 1928: 124.


**Material examined.** Point 42 (2♀, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species is recognizable by the apical portion of the ovipositor which is strongly tapered and pointed at the tip.

**Distribution.** Argentina and Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina, and Tocantins.

*Pseudacteon litoralis* Borgmeier, 1925: Figure 29

**Pseudacteon litoralis** Borgmeier 1925: 246–247, figs 4, 35.

**Material examined.** Point 43 (1♀, DZUP).
Diagnostic characters. This species is easily recognized by the structure of the tricuspidate ovipositor.

Distribution. Argentina and Brazil.


Puliciphora borinquenensis Wheeler, 1906 Figure 30
Puliciphora borinquenensis Wheeler 1906: 269, pl. 34.

Material examined. Point 48 (14♀, DZUP).

Diagnostic characters. Species characterized by the well-developed T6; flap of T5 longer than midline length of the rest of tergite; T3 and T4 shorter than T2.

Distribution. Pantropical.


*Trophodeinus vicinus* (Borgmeier, 1963): Figure 31
Bactropalpus vicinus Borgmeier 1963: 169, fig. 8.

Material examined. Point 1 (♂, COLEPAV); point 5 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 10 (1♂, DZUP 459659); point 14 (4♂, DZUP 459656); point 15 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 19 (7♂, COLEPAV); point 20 (2♂, COLEPAV); point 21 (1♂, DZUP 459658);

Diagnostic characters. This species is easily recognized by the structure of the asymmetrical hypopygium. Left
forces spread at base, tapering towards apex; and right forces bent near base, distal part slightly sinuous, apex rounded (Borgmeier 1963).

**Updated Distribution.** Southern Brazil and Guatemala.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio Grande do Sul.

**Subfamily Phorinae**

*Chaetocnemistopectera pitypropygga* Ament, 2014: Figures 3, 4


**Material Examined.** Point 14 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 19 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 21 (3♂, DZUP 459513).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species can be distinguished from the other species of *Chaetocnemistopectera* by the lack of lobes or well-defined groups of setulae in the hind femur and the presence of a lateral row of rounded protuberances in the hypandrium.

**Distribution.** Southern Brazil, northern Argentina, and Ecuador.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Paraná, Rio Grande do Sul, and Santa Catarina.

*Coniceromyia anacleti* Borgmeier, 1925: Figures 3, 4

*Coniceromyia anacleti* Borgmeier: 1925: 123–125, fig. 8.

**Material examined.** Point 1 (5♂, DZUP 459517); point 11 (1♂, DZUP); point 24 (1♂, DZUP 459515); point 25 (1♂, DZUP 459516); point 35 (1♂, DZUP).

**Diagnostica characters.** This species is easily recognizable by the characteristic setation of the foretibia, with a strong, sinuous postero-dorsal spine, followed by a row of 6 or 7 strong dorsal setae. The front metatarsus is strongly dilated, with an anterior excavation followed by an anteroapical process.

**Distribution.** Northeastern Argentina, southern states in Brazil, and areas of higher altitudes in southeastern Brazil (Ament and Amorin 2016).

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Espirito Santo, Paraná, Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina, São Paulo.

*Dohrniphora biseriata* Borgmeier, 1960

*Phora biseriata* Borgmeier 1960: 284, fig. 39.

**Material examined.** Point 36 (1♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species is easily recognizable by the double line of peg-like setae along the ventral margin at the base of posterior face of the hind femur (Brown and Kung 2010, figs 183, 184).

**Distribution.** South America.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Manaus, Rio de Janeiro, and Rio Grande do Sul.

*Dohrniphora canaliculata* Borgmeier, 1960

*Phora canaliculata* Borgmeier 1960: 286–287, fig. 42.

**Material examined.** Point 14 (1♂, DZUP 459530); point 15 (1♂, COLEPAV); point 28 (1♂, DZUP 459529); point 36 (1♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostic characters.** This species is recognizable by a basal group of peg-like setae placed on a rounded lobe, followed by an elongate, tapered concavity at the base of posterior face of the hind femur (Brown and Kung 2010: figs 227, 228).

**Distribution.** South and southeastern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.

*Dohrniphora cornuta* (Bigot, 1857)


**Material examined.** Point 39 (1♂, DZUP 459531); point 30 (4♂, COLEPAV); point 32 (1♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostica characters.** This species is easily recognizable by the small group of peg-like setae on the base of the posterior face of the hind femur and the thin setae on dorsal surface (Brown and Kung 2010: figs 25, 26).

**Distribution.** Cosmopolitan.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Rio de Janeiro and Rio Grande do Sul.

*Dohrniphora dalcocantha* Borgmeier, 1960

*Phora dalcocantha* Borgmeier 1960: 268, fig. 12.

**Material examined.** Point 15 (3♂, DZUP 459531); point 30 (4♂, COLEPAV); point 32 (1♂, COLEPAV).

**Diagnostica characters.** Posterior face of hind femur with a single row of about 6 peg-like setae along ventral margin and a more dorsal group of about 20; and distally with a small transverse carina. Hind tibia with 2 antero dorsal setae (Brown and Kung 2007, figs 63–64, 208).

**Distribution.** South and southeastern Brazil.

**Updated distribution for Brazil.** Minas Gerais, Rio Grande do Sul, Rondônia, Santa Catarina, and São Paulo.

*Dohrniphora dispar* (Enderlein, 1912)


**Material examined.** Point 39 (1♂, DZUP); point 40 (1♂, DZUP).
Diagnostic characters. This species is easily recognizable by the orange, enlarged flagellomere 1 and the large and darkened foretarsomere 5. The posterior face of the hind femur has a group of about 60 peg-like setae followed by a dorsally opened concavity (Brown and Kung 2010, figs 195–196).

Distribution. Widespread in New World tropical lowlands.


Dohrniphora divaricata (Aldrich, 1896)
Phora divaricata Aldrich 1896: 437.
Dohrniphora divaricata—Borgmeier 1961: 111.

Material examined. Point 43 (1♂, DZUP); point 49 (2♂, DZUP).

Diagnostic characters. This species varies extensively on the number and disposition of the peg-like setae. According to Kung and Brown (2006) the posterior face of the hind femur has usually 2 rows along the ventral margin, in addition to a larger group of setae dorsal to that row (Kung and Brown 2006, figs 5–12, 20, 27–28). Our specimens are very similar to those illustrated by Borgmeier and Prado (1975: fig. 27) as D. obscuriventris.

Distribution. Widespread in the New World tropical lowlands to the United States of America.


Dohrniphora fuscicoxa Borgmeier, 1923

Material examined. Point 19 (1♂, DZUP); point 21 (1♂, DZUP 459533); point 31(1♂, DZUP); point 41 (2♂, DZUP).

Diagnostic characters. This species is easily recognizable by the contrastingly darker midcoxa. Peg-like setae distributed as a tringle at base of hind femur, followed by 2 dorsoventral carina (Brown and Kung 2010: figs 245, 246).

Distribution. South America.


Dohrniphora longirostrata (Enderlein, 1912)
Crepidonopachys longirostrata Enderlein 1912: 17, fig. 1.
Dohrniphora longirostrata—Malloch 1913: 23.
Diploneura (Dohrniphora) longirostrata—Schmitz 1929: 78, 107–108.
Dohrniphora ronchii Borgmeier 1923: 52.
Crepisonopachys ronchii Enderlein 1924: 49.
Diploneura (Dohrniphora) ronchii Schmitz 1929: 31, 108.

Material examined. Point 36 (5♂, DZUP 459534).

Diagnostic characters. This species is distinguished from the other longirostrata-group species by the yellow pleura and scutum. The posterior face of the hind femur has 3 long, thick proximal setae. Proximal apex narrowed, dorsally directed (Kung and Brown 2005: fig. 1)

Distribution. Northern Argentina to midwest and southeastern Brazil.

Updated distribution for Brazil. Distrito Federal, Minas Gerais, Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina.

Dohrniphora lugens Borgmeier, 1960
Dohrniphora lugens Borgmeier 1960: 286, fig. 44.

Material examined. Point 33 (2♂, DZUP 459538).

Diagnostic characters. This is a dark colored species easily recognizable by the elongate group of three to four rows of peglike setae and the presence of a clear spot at the ventral margin of the hind femur (Brown and Kung 2010L figs 205, 206, 301).

Distribution. Argentina to Costa Rica.

Updated distribution for Brazil. Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina.

Dohrniphora paraguayana (Brues, 1907)
Phora paraguayana Brues 1907: 400–401, pl. 8 fig. 9.
Dohrniphora paraguayana—Brues 1915: 96; Borgmeier 1960: 269, fig. 13.
Diploneura (Dohrniphora) paraguayana—Schmitz 1929: 108.
Dohrniphora conspicua Borgmeier 1923: 628; 1925: 99–101, pl. 1 fig. 2.
Diploneura (Dohrniphora) conspicua—Schmitz 1929: 108; Borges 1932: 134.
Diploneura (Dohrniphora) anterodorsalis Schmitz 1928: 28–29; 1929: 108.

Material examined. Point 45 (1♂, DZUP 459541); point 46 (3♂, DZUP 459539); point 44 (1♂, DZUP)

Diagnostic characters. This species is easily recognizable by the hind tibia setation with a row of several antero-dorsal setae and by the three closely-placed peglike setae near the ventral margin of the posterior face of hind femur (Brown and Kung 2007: figs. 89–90, 223).

Distribution. New World tropics.


Subfamily Chonocephalinae

Chonocephalus depressus Meijere, 1912
Chonocephalus depressus Meijere 1912: 151 (female)—Disney 1991: 208 (male).
Chonocephalus japonicus Schmitz 1941: 82 (part), fig. of male wing only; Disney 2002: 15.
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Discussion
This is the first attempt to compile data on phorid distribution from the state of Rio Grande do Sul. The lack of studies on this subject is evidenced by the great increase in the number of species registered here for the state. Our survey revealed a diversity 5 times higher than previously known (Borgmeier 1968).

Megaselia Rondani 1856, with 13 species recorded, is the most diverse genus in Rio Grande do Sul. This is the largest genus of the family and accounts for nearly half of the described species (Brown 2010). The total diversity for the state should be much higher, but because of the many undescribed species and the few and scattered taxonomic works, the correct determination of species becomes complicated. At least 24 more species of Megaselia were collected in Rio Grande do Sul and could not be identified.

The second most diverse genus was Dohrniphora Dahl, with 10 species collected. This is one of the best-known genera of the family with 2 comprehensive taxonomic revisions (Brown and Kung 2007, 2010). This genus currently has 227 species described, of which 114 occur in Brazil.

Melanoncha curvata and Eibsfeldtphora cumsaltensis were previously recorded from Argentina and are registered for the first time in Brazil. Megaselia gracilipalpis, M. latimanus, and Trophodeiunus vicinus were previously recorded from Dominica, Costa Rica, and Guatemala, respectively, and are also first recorded from Brazil.

Apart from the species mentioned in this study, a specimen belonging to the genus Zikania Borgmeier, 1925 was also collected in the state. The current taxonomy of the group does not allow for precise identification of species, although the collected specimen is very similar to Z. degenerata Borgmeier 1925.

This study was conducted in specific locations in the state and the total diversity of phorids for Rio Grande do Sul should be much higher. This is the first effort focused on understanding and recording the Phoridae fauna in the state and it may foster future studies on the taxonomy, ecology, and behavior of the group in the Neotropical region.
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