

First confirmed records of Godman's Long-tailed Bat, *Choeroniscus godmani* (Thomas, 1903) (Chiroptera, Phyllostomidae), from Brazil and Panama

Guilherme S. T. Garbino^{1*}, Marcus Vinicius Brandão², Valéria da Cunha Tavares^{3,4}

1 Museu de Zoologia João Moojen, Departamento de Biologia Animal, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, MG, Brazil • GSTG: guilherme.garbino@ufv.br  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1701-5930>

2 Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil • MVB: brandao.mvo@gmail.com  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2908-348X>

3 Instituto Tecnológico Vale, Belém, PA, Brazil

4 Laboratório de Mamíferos e Programa de Pós Graduação em Ciências Biológicas, Departamento de Sistemática e Ecologia, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil • VCT val.c.tavares@gmail.com  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0966-0139>

* Corresponding author

Abstract

We report on the occurrence of *Choeroniscus godmani* (Thomas, 1903) in Brazil and Panama. The Panama record fills a distribution gap for the species in Central America. The three records from Brazil are from the Amazonia in the state of Pará, and from the Cerrado and an Amazonia/Cerrado ecotone in the state of Mato Grosso. At two localities in Brazil, *C. minor*, a larger species of *Choeroniscus*, was also recorded. These new records extend the distribution of *C. godmani* by 2,065 km south to central South America. One of the Brazilian specimens represents the first record of supernumerary incisors in *C. godmani*.

Keywords

Amazonia, Central America, Cerrado, Glossophaginae, polyodontia

Academic editor: Sergio Solari | 9 November 2021 | Accepted 4 April 2022 | Published 12 May 2022

Citation: Garbino GST, Brandão MV, Tavares VC (2022) First confirmed records of Godman's Long-tailed Bat, *Choeroniscus godmani* (Thomas, 1903) (Chiroptera, Phyllostomidae), from Brazil and Panama. Check List 18 (3): 493–499. <https://doi.org/10.15560/18.3.493>

Introduction

The long-tailed bat genus *Choeroniscus* Thomas, 1928 (Chiroptera, Phyllostomidae) include three species: *C. minor* (Peters, 1868), *C. periosus* Handley, 1966, and *C. godmani* (Thomas, 1903) (Griffiths and Gardner 2008; Solari et al. 2019). The genus can be diagnosed by its small to medium-sized body (forearm 31–42 mm), bicolored dorsal fur, with pale base and dark brown tips; the presence of a moderately developed tail that reaches the

proximal third of the uropatagium and perforates it dorsally, and paired inflated pterygoid hamulae which may be in contact with the auditory bullae (Griffiths and Gardner 2008). The much-reduced teeth crowns and the dental formula of I 2/0, C 1/1, P 2/3, M 3/3 \times 2 = 30 also characterize the three species of the genus.

The smallest species of the genus, *C. godmani* has a wide distribution, with confirmed records in Mexico,

Guatemala, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Honduras, Costa Rica, Colombia, Venezuela, Guyana, French Guiana, and Suriname (Solari et al. 2019). However, the records are sparse and there are some distribution gaps, such as the absence of confirmed records from Panama and Brazil (Tavares and Molinari 2015; Díaz et al 2021). Presley et al. (2008) reported a specimen of *C. godmani* from Tapajós National Forest and Tavares et al. (2012) listed “*Choeroniscus* aff. *godmani*” from the vicinities of Floresta Nacional de Carajás, both from eastern Amazonia, in the Brazilian state of Pará, but no vouchers were mentioned. For this reason, the occurrence of this species in Brazil was considered likely but unconfirmed in subsequent checklists (Nogueira et al. 2014; Garbino et al. 2020). Likewise, specimens of *C. godmani* from Panama are listed in the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, <https://www.gbif.org/>) and the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI, <https://panamabiota.org/stri/>) databases, but the identification of the vouchers has not been verified.

The ecology and distribution of *C. godmani* is relatively well studied in the central and northern part of its range (Arends et al. 1995; Valiente-Banuet et al. 1997, 2004; Castaño et al. 2004; Magaña-González et al. 2008). The available data on the biology of this species, as well as relatively high capture rates in some regions, has resulted in the IUCN Red List category classification of Least Concern (Tavares and Molinari 2015). On the other hand, there is virtually no ecological data

available for the southern part of the geographic range of *C. godmani*.

Here we report the first documented records of *C. godmani* from Brazil and Panama, with two records of sympatry with *C. minor* in Brazil. Our records extend the distribution of the species to central Brazil and fill a distribution gap in Central America. We also report on the second known case of anomalous dental formula for *C. godmani*.

Methods

Data collection. We studied specimens in the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte; Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), São Paulo; National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (USNM), Washington, DC; Museu de Zoologia da Universidade Estadual de Campinas (ZUEC), Campinas. We also examined the holotype of *C. godmani* in the Natural History Museum (BMNH), London. We took a total of six measurements of the skull with digital calipers (to the nearest 0.01 mm) (Woodman and Timm 2006): greatest length of skull, breadth of post-orbital constriction, braincase breadth, length of maxillary toothrow, breadth across canines, and length of mandible. We also measured the forearm length of specimens. External measurements of head and body, and tail lengths, and weight were retrieved from specimen tags.




Figure 1. Geographic distribution of *Choeroniscus godmani* in Central and South America (shaded gray) as per Tavares and Molinari (2015). New records: BRAZIL: Mato Grosso state, Rondonópolis, Posto Indígena Tadarimana (1) and Cláudia (2); Pará state, Parauapebas (3); PANAMA: Ngäbe-Buglé comarca indígena, Península Valiente, Quebrada Hido (4).

Results

Choeroniscus godmani (Thomas, 1903)

New records. PANAMA – Ngäbe-Buglé • Kusapín, Península Valiente, Quebrada Hido; 09°10'N, 081°53'W (locality 4, Fig. 1); alt. ca. 0 m a.s.l.; 25.IV.1990; C.O. Handley Jr. leg.; 1 adult ♂, taxidermied skin with separated skull and partial skeleton, COH-JR 16913; USNM 578191.

BRAZIL – Pará • Parauapebas, Fazenda do Mauro; 05°58'49"S, 049°54'47"W (locality 3, Fig. 1); alt. ca. 296 m a.s.l.; 06.V.2007; V.C. Tavares and T. Dornas leg.; 1 subadult ♂, specimen in fluid with separated skull, VCT 1100; UFMG 7772 • Parauapebas, Fazenda do Mauro; 05°58'49"S, 049°54'47"W (locality 3, Fig. 1); alt. ca. 296 m a.s.l.; 06.V.2007; V.C. Tavares and R.F. Silva leg.; 1 adult ♀ (pregnant with a single fetus), specimen in fluid with separated skull, VCT 1076; UFMG 7773 – Mato Grosso • Cláudia; 11°33'S, 055°11'W (locality 2, Fig. 1); alt. ca. 370 m a.s.l.; 06.VI.1997; M. Kerr leg.; 1 adult ♀, taxidermied skin with separated skull, PEV734-735; MZUSP 36021 • Rondonópolis, Posto Indígena Tadarimana; 16°31'S, 054°30'W (locality 1, Fig. 1); alt. ca. 250 m a.s.l.; 08.VII.1977; V.S. Dias leg.; 1 unknown sex, skull only, ZUEC-MAM 1576.

Choeroniscus minor (Peters, 1868)

New records. BRAZIL – Mato Grosso • Cláudia; 11°33'S, 055°11'W; alt. ca. 370 m a.s.l.; 08.VI.1997; M. Kerr leg.; 1 adult ♀, pregnant with a single fetus, taxidermied

skin with separated skull, PEV777-778, MZUSP 35006.

Remarks. All specimens were captured with mist nets placed on ground level. One of the two specimens of *C. godmani* from Mato Grosso state (MZUSP 36021) has an extra pair of upper incisors on both sides of the premaxilla, instead of the regular number of two upper incisors (Fig. 4). The four specimens from Brazil show no significant differences among each other, other than the extra pair of incisors in one specimen. We compared these specimens to the holotype of *C. godmani* (BMNH 79.12.24.1) and the only difference between it and the Brazilian samples was the absence of a palatine cleft in our samples. One of the specimens from Pará (UFMG 7773) was a pregnant female with a single fetus. At two of the localities, in Cláudia (Locality 2, Fig. 1) and in Parauapebas (Locality 3, Fig. 1), both *C. godmani* and *C. minor* were collected together. The specimen of *C. minor* from Cláudia was pregnant, carrying a single fetus, and it was captured in a mist net placed near a bridge of a stream. Tavares et al. (2012) reported *C. minor* from Parauapebas.

Identification. Specimens were identified as *Choeroniscus* based on the inflated hamular process of the pterygoid, incomplete zygomatic arches, absence of lower incisors, bicolored dorsal fur, and tail reaching proximal third of uropatagium (Figs. 2, 3). *Choeroniscus godmani* is distinguished from *C. minor* by the relatively smaller cranial dimensions, as both species have similar forearm length (Table 1). In *C. godmani* there is a conspicuous notch in the lateral posterior border of the palatine,




Figure 2. *Choeroniscus minor* MZUSP 35006 (A, C, E) and *Choeroniscus godmani* MZUSP 36021 (B, D, F), both from Cláudia, Mato Grosso, Brazil. A. Skin, dorsal view. B. Skin, dorsal view. C. Skin, ventral view. D. Skin, ventral view. E. Detail of pelage bands, dorsal view. F. Detail of pelage bands, dorsal view. Note the contrast between basal and terminal bands in the dorsal pelage of *C. minor* (C) and *C. godmani* (F).




Figure 3. *Choeroniscus minor* MZUSP 35006 (**A**, **C**, **E**) and *Choeroniscus godmani* MZUSP 36021 (**B**, **D**, **F**), both from Cláudia, Mato Grosso, Brazil. **A**. Skull, dorsal view. **B**. Skull, dorsal view. **C**. Skull, ventral view. **D**. Skull, ventral view. **E**. Skull, lateral view. **F**. Skull, lateral view. The red lines indicate the notched lateral posterior border of the palatine in *C. godmani*, versus a continuous border in *C. minor*, and the blue lines show the cranium markedly elevated in *C. godmani*, versus a much less marked elevation in *C. minor*. Scale bar: 10 mm.

whereas in *C. minor* this border is continuous. Also, the cranium of *C. godmani* is markedly elevated from the basicranial plane, whereas in *C. minor* the elevation of the cranium is much less marked (Diaz et al. 2016, 2021) (Fig. 3). Both *C. minor* and *C. godmani* are distinguished from *C. periosus* by their smaller size (forearm length <40 mm; Table 1) and shortened rostrum (rostral length shorter than braincase; Fig. 3). In contrast, *C. periosus* has a larger body size (forearm length >40 mm) and a longer rostrum, with a larger rostral length compared to the length of the braincase (Handley 1966).

Discussion

We confirm that *Choeroniscus godmani* occurs in Brazil, as suggested by previous studies (Presley et al. 2008; Tavares et al. 2012; Brandão et al. 2019). Our records also

extend the range of *C. godmani* significantly to the south, by 2,065 km from its previous known locality, which is “Sipaliwini Airstrip”, Suriname (Williams and Genoways 1980). With the record from Panama, we also fill part of a distribution gap for the species (Tavares and Molinari 2015; Solari et al. 2019). However, the record from Panama is near the border with Costa Rica and the species has not been recorded for a vast area in the country, where it is expected to occur.

Polyodontia has been commonly observed in *C. minor* (Husson 1962; Phillips 1971; Velazco et al. 2021), but only a single case was previously known for *C. godmani*. Phillips (1971) found an extra premolar in specimen AMNH 131765 from Costa Rica. Therefore, our record is the first report of supernumerary incisors for the species. The extra teeth are placed between the second upper incisors and the canines; they are peg-like and with a relatively

Table 1. External and cranial measurements and body mass of *Choeroniscus godmani* and *C. minor* reported here.

Measurements	<i>Choeroniscus godmani</i>					<i>Choeroniscus minor</i>
	USNM 578191 Panama, Peninsula Valiente	UFMG 7772 Brazil, Parauapebas	UFMG 7773 Brazil, Parauapebas	MZUSP 36021 Brazil, Cláudia	ZUEC-MAM 1576 Brazil, Rondonópolis, Posto Indígena Tadarimana	MZUSP 35006 Brazil, Cláudia
Sex	Male	Male	Female	Female	Unknown	Female
Body mass (g)	6.5	8.0	8.0	8.3	—	7.8
Head and body length (mm)	50	—	—	48	—	49
Tail length (mm)	7.0	7.6	—	6.0	—	8.0
Forearm length (mm)	32.66	30.00	—	31.00	—	34.00
Greatest length of skull (mm)	19.06	20.63	21.19	20.65	20.17	23.09
Breadth of post-orbital constriction	—	3.72	3.79	3.25	3.80	3.80
Braincase breadth	8.03	8.02	8.06	7.79	8.22	8.05
Length of maxillary toothrow	6.80	7.27	7.15	7.18	7.07	8.48
Breadth across canines	3.34	3.32	3.79	2.96	3.13	3.41
Length of mandible	13.64	—	15.19	13.70	14.8	17.5

lower crown than usual for incisors (Fig. 4).

The records from eastern Amazonia, Pará state (locality 3, Fig. 1) are from an area adjacent to the Floresta Nacional de Carajás (FLONA Carajás), which is a protected area that also permits the sustainable use of resources. The Serra dos Carajás region harbors a mosaic of Amazonian rain forests and unique “canga” formations associated to the iron-rich substrate, containing rupicolous and aquatic vegetation. These formations harbor several endemic species and have thousands of iron caves forming cave networks and populated by unique invertebrate and bat communities (Tavares et al. 2012; Jaffé et al. 2016; Souza-Filho et al. 2019; Zappi et al. 2019). The FLONA Carajás is partially exploited for mining and is the site of the largest iron-ore mine in the world. Diverse assemblages of bats, totaling eight families, 46 genera, and 75 species, have been recorded from

the FLONA (Tavares et al. 2012).

One of the records from Brazil (locality 2, Fig. 1) is inserted at the “Arc of Deforestation”, an area at the southern and eastern borders of the Amazonia, and the other is located in the Cerrado domain (locality 1, Fig. 1). Both of these areas in Mato Grosso state are suffering intense deforestation and land conversion to soy plantations and cattle ranching (Yoshikawa and Sanga-Ngoie 2011; Marques et al. 2020). One of the localities (Posto Indígena Tadarimana, locality 1, Fig. 1) is inside indigenous land currently surrounded by plantations and pasture, and may function as a protected area for fauna as demonstrated elsewhere for other indigenous lands (Fernández-Llamazares et al. 2021).

Notably, the record from Panama is inside the Ngäbe-Buglé “comarca indígena”, a special administrative area where indigenous groups have exclusive land rights and some political autonomy. The vegetation in Panama where the new record was made consists of humid forests of the Caribbean coast; this region is considered well preserved and important for biodiversity conservation in the country (ANAM 2010).

Our new records are based on museum vouchers, one of them collected 45 years ago (ZUEC-MAM 1576), reinforcing the importance of scientific collections and the need to continuously revise specimens (Moratelli 2014). Both specimens from Mato Grosso reported here, for example, were previously identified as *Glossophaga* sp. on their respective field labels.

With the new records, Brazil has now 182 bat species confirmed in its territory (Garbino et al. 2020). Considering the latest accounts and records, and including the recently described *Vampyressa elisabethae* Tavares et al., 2014 and our new record of *C. godmani*, there are now 113 species of bats recorded in Panama (Samudio and Pino 2014; Tavares et al. 2014; Díaz et al. 2021).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Roberto Portela (BMNH), Juliana Gualda, Luis Fabio Silveira, and Mario de Vivo




Figure 4. Rostrum of *Choeroniscus godmani* specimen (MZUSP 36021) with supernumerary upper incisors (arrows). **A.** Frontal view. **B.** Lateral view.

(MZUSP), Fernando Perini and Fred Victor Oliveira (UFMG), Alfred Gardner, Suzanne Peurach, and Darin Lunde (USNM), and Paulo Roberto Manzani and Karina Rebelo (ZUEC), for allowing us examine specimens under their care. Manfredo Turcios-Casco, Jorge Luis Pino, and the academic editor Sergio Solari provided helpful comments and insights. We kindly thank the Instituto Tecnológico Vale for the support to this publication.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization: MVB, VDCT, GG. Data curation: VDCT, GG, MVB. Formal analysis: MVB, GG, VDCT. Investigation: VDCT, GG, MVB. Methodology: VDCT, GG, MVB. Visualization: GSTG, MVB. Writing – original draft: GG, MVB, VDCT. Writing – review and editing: MVB, VDCT, GG.

References

- ANAM (2010) Atlas ambiental de la República de Panamá. Editora Novo Art, S.A., Panamá, Panamá, 187 pp.
- Arends A, Bonaccorso FJ, Genoud M (1995) Basal rates of metabolism of nectarivorous bats (Phyllostomidae) from a semiarid thorn forest in Venezuela. *Journal of Mammalogy* 76: 947–956.
- Brandão MV, Garbino GST, Semedo TBF, Feijó A, Do Nascimento FO, Fernandes-Ferreira H, Rossi RV, Dalponte J, Carmignotto AP (2019) Mammals of Mato Grosso, Brazil: Annotated species list and historical review. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 26: 263–307. <https://doi.org/10.31687/saremmn.19.26.2.0.03>
- Castañero JH, Botero JE, Velásquez S, Corrales JD (2004) Murciélagos en agroecosistemas cafeteros de Colombia. *Chiroptera Neotropical* 10: 196–199.
- Díaz, M, Solari S, Aguirre L, Aguilar L, Barquez R (2016) Clave de identificación de los murciélagos de Sudamérica. Publicación especial n° 2. Programa de Conservación de los Murciélagos de Argentina, Tucumán, Argentina, 160 pp.
- Díaz, M, Solari S, Gregorin R, Aguirre LF, Barquez R (2021) Clave de identificación de los murciélagos neotropicales. Publicación Especial N° 2. Programa de Conservación de los Murciélagos de Argentina, Tucumán, Argentina, 207 pp.
- Fernández-Llamazares Á, López-Baucells A, Velazco PM, Gyawali A, Rocha R, Terraube J, Cabeza M (2021) The importance of indigenous territories for conserving bat diversity across the Amazon biome. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation* 19: 10–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2020.11.001>
- Garbino GST, Gregorin R, Lima IP, Loureiro L, Moras LM, Moratelli R, Nogueira MR, Pavan AC, Tavares VC, Nascimento MC do, Peracchi AL (2020) Updated checklist of Brazilian bats: versão 2020. Comitê da lista de morcegos do Brasil–CLMB. Sociedade Brasileira para o Estudo de Quirópteros. <https://www.sbeq.net/lista-de-especies>. Accessed on: 2021-11-5.
- Griffiths TA, Gardner AL (2008) Subfamily Glossophaginae Bonaparte, 1845. In: Gardner AL (Ed.) *Mammals of South America*, volume 1: marsupials, xenarthrans, shrews, and bats. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, USA, 224–244.
- Handley CO (1966) Descriptions of new bats (*Choeroniscus* and *Rhinophylla*) from Colombia. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 79: 83–88.
- Husson AM (1962) The bats of Suriname. *Zoologische Verhandlungen* 58: 1–278.
- Jaffé R, Prous X, Zampaulo R, Giannini TC, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL, Maurity C, Oliveira G, Brandi IV, Siqueira JO (2016) Reconciling mining with the conservation of cave biodiversity: a quantitative baseline to help establish conservation priorities. *PLoS ONE* 11 (12): e0168348. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168348>
- Magaña-González KC, Vázquez-García JA, Gallegos JGG, Bustos OR (2008) Visitantes florales en *Agave valenciana* Cházaro & A. Vázquez (Agavaceae) en Mascota, Jalisco, México. *Scientia-CUCBA* 10: 1–6.
- Marques EQ, Marimon-Junior BH, Marimon BS, Matricardi EAT, Mews HA, Colli GR (2020) Redefining the Cerrado–Amazonia transition: implications for conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 29: 1501–1517. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01720-z>
- Moratelli R (2014) Wildlife biologists are on the right track: a mammalogist's view of specimen collection. *Zoologia* 31: 413–417. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702014000500001>
- Nogueira MR, de Lima IP, Moratelli R, Tavares VDC, Gregorin R, Peracchi AL (2014) Checklist of Brazilian bats, with comments on original records. *Check List* 10 (4): 808–821. <https://doi.org/10.15560/10.4.808>
- Phillips CJ (1971) The dentition of glossophaginae bats: development, morphological characteristics, variation, pathology, and evolution. University of Kansas Publications, Museum of Natural History 54: 1–136.
- Presley SJ, Willig MR, Wunderle JM, Saldanha LN (2008) Effects of reduced-impact logging and forest physiognomy on bat populations of lowland Amazonian forest. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45: 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01373.x>
- Samudio R, Pino JL (2014) Historia de la mastozoología en Panamá. In: Ortega J, José Luis Martínez, Tirira DG (Eds.) *Historia de la mastozoología en Latinoamérica, las Guayanas y el Caribe*. Editorial Murciélagos Blanco y Asociación Ecuatoriana de Mastozoología, Mexico DF, Mexico, 329–344.
- Solari S, Medellín R, Rodríguez-Herrera B, Tavares VC, Garbino GST, Camacho MA, Tirira D, Lim B, Arroyo-Cabrales J, Rodríguez-Durán A, Dumont E, Burneo S, Aguirre LF, Tschapka M, Espinosa D (2019) Family Phyllostomidae (New World leaf-nosed bats). In: Wilson DE, Mittermeier RA (Eds.) *Handbook of the mammals of the world, bats*, volume 9. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain, 444–583.
- Souza-Filho PWM, Giannini TC, Jaffé R, Giulietti AM, Santos DC, Nascimento WR Jr., Guimarães JTF, Costa MF, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL, Siqueira J (2019) Mapping and quantification of ferruginous outcrop savannas in the Brazilian Amazon: a challenge for biodiversity conservation. *PLoS ONE* 14 (1): e0211095. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211095>
- Tavares VC, Molinari J (2015) *Choeroniscus godmani*. The IUCN Red List of threatened species 2015 e.T4772A22. <https://doi.org/10.2305/iucn.uk.2015-4.rlts.t4772a22041805.en>
- Tavares VC, Gardner AL, Ramírez-Chaves HE, Velazco PM (2014) Systematics of *Vampyressa melissa* Thomas, 1926 (Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae), with descriptions of two new species of *Vampyressa*. *American Museum Novitates* 3813: 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1206/3813.1>
- Tavares VC, Palmutti CFS, Gregorin R, Dornas, TT (2012) Morcegos. In: Martins FD, Castilho AF, Campos J, Hatano FM, Rolim SG (Eds.) *Fauna da Floresta Nacional de Carajás: estudos sobre vertebrados terrestres*. Nitro Imagens, São Paulo, Brazil, 163–179.
- Valiente-Banuet A, Rojas-Martínez A, Casas A, Arizmendi M del C, Dávila P (1997) Pollination biology of two winter-blooming giant columnar cacti in the Tehuacán Valley, central Mexico. *Journal of Arid Environments* 37: 331–341.
- Valiente-Banuet A, Molina-Freaner F, Torres A, Del Coro Arizmendi M, Casas A (2004) Geographic differentiation in the pollination system of the columnar cactus *Pachycereus pecten-aboriginum*. *American Journal of Botany* 91: 850–855. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.91.6.850>
- Velazco PM, Voss RS, Fleck DW, Simmons NB (2021) Mammalian diversity and Matses ethnomammalogy in Amazonian Peru. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 451: 1–199.

- Williams SL, Genoways HH (1980) Results of the ALCOA Foundation–Suriname Expeditions. II. Additional records of bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera) from Suriname. *Annals of the Carnegie Museum of Natural History* 49: 213–236.
- Woodman N, Timm RM (2006) Characters and phylogenetic relationships of nectar-feeding bats, with descriptions of new *Lonchophylla* from western South America (Mammalia: Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae: Lonchophyllini). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 119: 437–476. [https://doi.org/10.2988/0006-324x\(2006\)119\[437:capron\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.2988/0006-324x(2006)119[437:capron]2.0.co;2)
- Yoshikawa S, Sanga-Ngoie K (2011) Deforestation dynamics in Mato Grosso in the southern Brazilian Amazon using GIS and NOAA/AVHRR data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 32: 523–544. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160903475225>
- Zappi DC, Moro MF, Walker B, Meagher T, Viana PL, Mota NFO, Watanabe MTC, Lughadha EM (2019) Plotting a future for Amazonian canga vegetation in a campo rupestre context. *PLoS ONE* 14 (8): e0219753. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219753>