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#### Abstract

We report a substantial range extension of Ichthyophis multicolor Wilkinson, Presswell, Sherratt, Papadopoulou \& Gower, 2014, with new material from Mizoram State, Northeast India. The species was previously known only from its type locality more than 800 km away in Ayeyarwady Region, Myanmar. The species was identified by both its morphology and 16 s rRNA gene sequence data. One of the studied individuals represents the largest known specimen for the species (total length $=501 \mathrm{~mm}$; mid-body width $=18.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Brief comparisons of $I$. multicolor with the sympatric as well as parapatric congeners in the region, and first barcode data for I. moustakius Kamei, Wilkinson, Gower \& Biju, 2009 are also presented.
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## Introduction

The genus Ichthyophis Fitzinger, 1826 is recognized as the most speciose and widely distributed genus of the caecilian amphibians (e.g., Wilkinson et al. 2014; Taylor 1968; Gower et al. 2002). Currently, the genus is represented by 50 species, of which 13 species occur in India. Eight of these 13 species are reported from Northeast India, namely I. alfredi Mathew \& Sen, 2009; I. daribokensis

Mathew \& Sen, 2009; I. garoensis Pillai \& Ravichandran, 1999; I. khumhzi Kamei, Wilkinson, Gower \& Biju, 2009; I. moustakius Kamei, Wilkinson, Gower \& Biju, 2009; I. nokrekensis Mathew \& Sen, 2009; I. sendenyu Kamei, Wilkinson, Gower \& Biju, 2009; and I. sikkimensis Taylor, 1960 (Frost 2021).

Recently, Wilkinson et al. (2014) described a new
species of Ichthyophis, I. multicolor Wilkinson, Wilkinson, Presswell, Sherratt, Papadopoulou \& Gower, 2014, based on 14 specimens from Ayeyarwady Region, Myanmar. This species is known only from its type locality and is presently not included in the IUCN Red List. We provide the first record of I. multicolor from Mizoram State, India, and compare this species with the congenerics reported from Northeast India. We also provide genetic data of I. moustakius for the first time and compare this species' molecular proximity to its congeners through molecular phylogenetic analysis.

## Methods

The specimens reported here were collected after obtaining permission for herpetofaunal collection in the State of Mizoram from the Environment, Forests and Climate Change Department, Government of Mizoram (permit no. A.33011/2/99-CWLW/225). The specimens were deposited and voucher numbers were obtained from the Departmental Museum of Zoology, Mizoram University (MZMU). Measurements were taken with Mitutoyo dial vernier calipers (Model 505-671) to the nearest 0.1 mm . The body circumference and total length (TL) were measured with thread and ruler. Annular grooves were counted by using ImageJ2 software (Rueden et al. 2017) and cross-checked manually. The numbers of vertebrae were counted by using digital radiography at 56 kVp and 24 mAs (Allengers MARS 30 X-Ray Machine). Fresh liver tissue was collected and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $95 \%$ ethanol in the facility of Developmental Biology and Herpetology Laboratory, Mizoram University, India. A map was produced using QGIS v. 3.16.2 software (QGIS Development Team 2020).

The abbreviations of Wilkinson et al. (2014) were used: $\mathrm{AG}=$ annular groove; $\mathrm{AM}=$ anterior most margin of mouth on upper jaw; C1 = first nuchal collar; C2 $=$ second nuchal collar; $\mathrm{CM}=$ corner of the mouth; $\mathrm{IM}=$ inner mandibular tooth; NG1 = first nuchal groove; NG3 $=$ third nuchal groove; $\mathrm{OM}=$ outer mandibular tooth, $\mathrm{PM}=$ premaxillary-maxillary tooth; $\mathrm{ST}=$ snout tip; TA $=$ tentacular aperture; $\mathrm{TG}=$ dorsal transverse groove on collar; TP = tentacular papillus; VP = vomeropalatine tooth; $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}=$ total length divided by head length (the latter $=$ ST-NG1 measured directly behind CM ); $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}=$ total length divided by tail length (the latter $=$ distance behind vent); $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}=$ total length divided by midbody width; W/S = width at midbody divided by maximum width of stripe at midbody; $\mathrm{AV}=$ anterior limit of vent; $\mathrm{PV}=$ posterior limit of vent; $\mathrm{TT}=$ tail tip and in measures $\mathrm{N}=$ naris; and $\mathrm{E}=$ eye.

Genomic DNA was extracted from the liver sample of three $I$. multicolor specimens (MZMU 1541, MZMU 1739, and MZMU 1740), and two I. moustakius specimens (MZMU 1758 and MZMU 1847) using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, USA) following the manufacturer's standard protocol. We amplified and sequenced the mitochondrial 16 S
ribosomal RNA gene (16s) using primers L02510 (Forward: 5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3') (Palumbi 1996) and H3056 (Reverse: 5'-CTCCGGTTTGAACT-CAGATC-3') (Rassmann et al. 1997). The derived sequences were compared with congeners using published 16s rRNA sequence data (Gower et al. 2002, 2007; Nishikawa et al. 2012; Wilkinson et al. 2014). Sequences (maximum of 691 base pairs) were aligned with MEGA v. 7 using the MUSCLE algorithm with default parameter settings (Edgar 2004). Best fit nucleotide substitution model was selected using ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) based on the Bayesian Information Criterion. Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed using maximum likelihood (ML) in IQ TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) with 10000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates (Hoang et al. 2017). Uncorrected $p$-distance was calculated in MEGA v. 7 (Kumar et al. 2016).

## Results

## Ichthyophis multicolor Wilkinson, Presswell, Sherratt, Papadopoulou \& Gower, 2014

Figures 1, 2; Tables 1-3
New records. INDIA - Mizoram • Kolasib District, Kolasib Hmar Veng; $23.3682^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 093.1420^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 638 m a.s.l.; 17.V. 2016; Hmar Tlawmte Lalremsanga leg.; from a roadside stream; MZMU 911, 1才, TL $431 \mathrm{~mm} \cdot$ Aizawl District, Mualpui; $24.2018^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 093.2176^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 833 m a.s.l.; 23.VI. 2016; Hmar Tlawmte Lalremsanga leg.; from a roadside, near riparian buffers; MZMU 913, 1q, TL $335 \mathrm{~mm} \cdot$ Aizawl District, College Veng; $24.2071^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $093.2139^{\circ}$ E; 874 m a.s.l.; 18.VIII. 2019; Hmar Tlawmte Lalremsanga leg.; dug out of soil during road construction; MZMU 1480, 1 ㅇ, TL 406 mm • Aizawl District, Tlangnuam; $23.6996^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 092.7172^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 997 m a.s.l.; 29.IX.2019; Samuel Lianzela leg.; from a roadside canal, near secondary forest; GenBank: MZ098157; MZMU 1541, 1 ठ, TL $501 \mathrm{~mm} \cdot$ Aizawl District, Melthum; $23.6894^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 092.7208^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 1030 m a.s.1.; 27.VI. 2020; Lal Muansanga leg.; captured while crossing a tarmac road; GenBank: MZ098156; MZMU 1739, 1 ㅇ, TL 361 mm - Aizawl District, Mission Vengthlang; $23.7120^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $092.7071^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 934 m a.s.1.; 1 VII. 2020; Ro Malsawma leg.; GenBank: MZ098155; MZMU 1740, 1 ¢, TL $310 \mathrm{~mm} \cdot$ Aizawl District, Tuirini bridge; $23.6844^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 092.8847^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 272 m a.s.l.; 1.X. 2020; Ht Decemson leg.; dead on road; MZMU 1956, 1 ㅇ, TL 339 mm • Aizawl District, Zemabawk; $23.7331^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 092.7622^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 860 m a.s.l.; 1.X. 2020; Gospel Zothanmawia Hmar leg.; dead on road; MZMU 1965, 1 §, TL 338 mm • Aizawl District, Mission Vengthlang; $23.7122^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 092.7075^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 943 m a.s.l.; 19.X. 2020; Ro Malsawma leg.; MZMU 2003, 1 q, TL $468 \mathrm{~mm} \cdot$ Aizawl District, Mizoram University campus; $23.7381^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 092.6618^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 774 m a.s.1.; 21.X. 2020; Ht Decemson leg.; found on surface near Mizoram University park, after rain showers; MZMU 2005, 1 §, TL 464 mm.
Identification. The specimens MZMU 1541, MZMU


Figure 1. A. Map showing the type locality of IChthyophis multicolor in Ayeyarwady, Myanmar (red diamond), and new localities from Mizoram, India (red triangles); and the new collection sites (yellow circles) and previous records (yellow squares) of Ichthyophis moustakius from India: (1) Ayeyarwady, (2) Kolasib Hmar veng, (3) Mizoram University campus, (4) Mualpui, (5) College veng, (6, 7) Mission vengthlang, (8) Tlangnuam, (9) Zemabawk, (10) Melthum, (11) Tuirini, (12) Tamdil, (13) Dampa Tiger reserve, (14) Thakthing, (15) Sawleng, (16) Bamgaizaeng, (17) Aziuram, (18) Nswanram, (19) Nriangluang, (20) Guwahati. B. Maximum likelihood 16s tree showing the inferred relationships of Northeast Indian and Myanmar Ichthyophis multicolor and congeners with 10000 bootstrap replicates (values at internal branches are bootstrap support values). The rhinatrematid Epicrionops marmoratus was used as outgroup. Sequences generated in this study are shown in bold, and * indicates species recently allocated to the genus Epicrium (see Dubois et al. 2021). C. Ichthyophis moustakius (MZMU 1847) in life. D. Ichthyophis multicolor (MZMU 1480) in life.

1739, and MZMU 1740 were identified based on morphology and 16s rRNA data. The individual MZMU 1541 represents the largest of the known I. multicolor at $501 \mathrm{~mm} / 18.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ (TL/mid-body width) vs. the largest known specimen at $402 \mathrm{~mm} / 15.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ (see Wilkinson et al. 2014). In life, the coloration of Mizoram specimens agree well with the original description in having a brownish dorsum and a pale venter, narrow whitish markings mid-ventrally, and a pale, irregular yellowish lateral stripe on both sides bordered below by a darker longitudinal stripe that blends into the paler venter. Furthermore, in the type series, AG number 346-386 (vs. 346-385 in the Mizoram population); AG 5-8 are interrupted by the disc (vs. 5-7 in the Mizoram population); AG 3-6 are behind the disc (vs. 3-7 in the Mizoram population); PM: 36-51, VP: 35-48, OM: 30-43, IM: 2637 (vs. 35-49, 31-38, 35-41, 23-35, respectively, in the Mizoram population); W/S: 4.5-7.2 (vs. 5.0-7.3 in the Mizoram population). An anomalous condition is documented in the specimen MZMU 1541 with no AGs behind the disc (Fig. 1D, E). Genetically, the population from Mizoram formed a sister clade to the type series of $I$. multicolor, differing from each other by an uncorrected $p$-distance of $0.14-0.17$.

The new material of $I$. multicolor differs from

Ichthyophis species previously reported from Northeast India in the following features as per the original descriptions by Kamei et al. (2009), Mathew and Sen (2009), Wilkinson et al. (2014), and Kamei and Biju (2016) (values in parentheses denote the ranges in the type series): It has more AGs, 346-385 (346-386) than $I$. alfredi (269-299), I. daribokensis (264-310), I. garoensis (264-309), I. moustakius (238-268), I. nokrekensis (269-300), and I. sendenyu (283-308). Although having an overlapping number of AGs (341-362 in the type series of I. khumhzi) I. multicolor has fewer inner mandibular teeth 23-35 (22-37) than I. khumhzi (40-46. Ichthyophis multicolor differs from I. sikkimensis by having a lateral yellow stripe which is absent in I. sikkimensis.

## Ichthyophis moustakius Kamei, Wilkinson, Gower \& Biju, 2009

Figures 1, 3; Tables 2, 3
Material examined. INDIA - Mizoram • Mamit District, Dampa Tiger Reserve (DTR); $23.6898^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $092.4512^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 263 m a.s.l.; 12.VII.2020; Ht Decemson leg.; from a tarmac road during heavy rain, near the entry gate of Teirei rest house; GenBank: MZ098158; MZMU 1758, 1 §, TL 196 mm •Aizawl District, Thakthing; $23.7141^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 092.7214^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 997 m a.s.1.; 31 VII. 2020;


Figure 2. Ichthyophis multicolor. A. MZMU 1740 in life. B, C. Dorsal and ventral views of MZMU 911, preserved. D, E. Dorsal and ventral views of anomalous specimen, MZMU 1541, in preservation. F. The tail of MZMU 1541 with no AGs behind the disc.

Table 1. Morphometric (in mm ) and meristic data for the newly reported specimens of Ichthyophis multicolor from Mizoram and ranges for the type series from Wilkinson et al. (2014). Asterisk denotes damaged head on right side, and plus ( + ) denotes anomalous character. Counts made on left and right are given in L / R order. See Methods for abbreviations.

| Specimen | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 1740 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 913 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 1956 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 1739 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 1480 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 911 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MZMU } \\ 1541 \end{gathered}$ | Wilkinson et al. <br> (2014) <br> CAS 212254-67 | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | $f$ | f | m | f | f | f | m | m | f | m | $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{f}$ range | $m+f$ range |
| Length | 310 | 335 | 338 | 339 | 361 | 406 | 431 | 464 | 468 | 501 | 168-402 | 310-501 |
| Width | 9.8 | 13.1 | 17.5 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 15.2 | 18.2 | 18.8 | 6.5-15.8 | $9.8-18.8$ |
| Circumference | 31.3 | 42 | 49.3 | 35.7 | 31.4 | 38 | 50 | 45.1 | 57.6 | 60 | 20-49 | 31.3-60.0 |
| Width of stripe | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 1.1-2.2 | 1.6-3.5 |
| Width at AV | 4.5 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 3.2-7.9 | 4.0-7.4 |
| NG1-ST | 14.1 | 13.7 | * | 12.7 | 14.3 | 15 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 21.2 | 7.9-14.3 | 12.7-21.2 |
| Length of C1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 1.8-3.3 | 2.8-5.4 |
| Length of C2 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 8.6 | 2.1-4.5 | 3.6-8.6 |
| Width at CM | 7.6 | 8.5 | * | 7.8 | 7.9 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 5.5-9.9 | 7.6-12.7 |
| Depth behind CM | 5.1 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 4.8-8.6 | 4.8-9.4 |
| Width at NG1 | 8.5 | 10.2 | * | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 6-10.2 | 8.1-13.1 |
| E-E | 5.4 | 6.0 | * | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 3.7-6.7 | 5.4-8.2 |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.8 | 2.0 | * | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.6-2.4 | 1.7-3.4 |
| E-N | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 3-5.3 | 4.5-8.1 |
| TA-TA | 6.1 | 6.1 | * | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 4.2-7.3 | 5.7-8.7 |
| E-TA | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1-1.8 | 1.6-2.7 |
| N-TA | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 2.1-4 | 3.3-5.7 |
| E-ST | 7.8 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 3.7-6.6 | 5.8-9.5 |
| AM-ST | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.7-1.1 | 1.0-1.8 |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{L}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.7-1.3 | 0.9-1.5 |
| E-L | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.8-1.5 | 1.1-1.8 |
| AV-TT | 5.0 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 2.8-3.8 | 4.6-7.9 |
| PV-TT | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 2.5-3.3 | 3.6-6.2 |
| AGs | 361 | 384 | 346 | 374 | 369 | 385 | 372 | 367 | 359 | 372 | 346-386 | 346-385 |
| Vertebrae | 122 | 126 | 123 | 120 | 119 | 125 | 123 | 127 | 127 | 124 | 126-132 | 119-127 |
| TGs | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2-4 | 1-2 |
| AGs behind vent | 5/5 | 5/5 | 4/4 | 3/3 | 4/4 | $7 / 7$ | 4/4 | 5/5 | 4/4 | 0/0+ | 3-6 | 3-7 |
| AGs interrupted in vent region | 5/5 | 5/5 | 6/7 | 6/6 | 5/5 | 6/7 | 5/5 | 5/6 | 6/6 | 6/7 | 5-8 | 5-7 |
| PMs | 39 | 35 | * | 37 | 42 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 49 | 38 | 36-50 | 35-49 |
| VPs | 38 | 33 | * | 36 | 37 | 31 | 37 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 35-48 | 31-38 |
| OMs | 35 | 33 | * | 35 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 36 | 40 | 41 | 30-43 | 33-41 |
| IMs | 30 | 31 | * | 23 | 35 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 26 | 35 | 22-37 | 23-35 |
| L/W | 31.6 | 25.6 | 19.3 | 32.6 | 32.2 | 29.4 | 24.6 | 30.5 | 25.7 | 24.2 | 24.4-27.5 | 19.3-32.6 |
| L/H | 22.0 | 24.5 | * | 26.7 | 25.2 | 27.1 | 26.6 | 28.6 | 24.8 | 26.6 | 25.8-39.5 | 22.0-28.6 |
| L/T | 77.5 | 56.8 | 76.8 | 94.2 | 84.0 | 65.5 | 93.7 | 76.1 | 130.0 | 100.2 | 50.9-158 | 56.8-130 |
| W/S | 6.1 | 5 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 4.4-7.2 | 5.0-7.3 |
| N-TA/E-TA | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.9-2.6 | 1.9-2.5 |
| E-ST/E-E | 1.4 | 1.0 | * | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0-1.1 | 0.9-1.4 |

Sailo Saitluangpuia leg.; from a roadside; GenBank: MZ098159; MZMU 1847, 1 ¢ , TL 349 mm.
Identification. Morphology-based identification was based on the published literature (Taylor 1960; Pillai and Ravichandran 1999; Mathew and Sen 2009; Kamei et al. 2009), and this work provides the first 16 s genetic data for the species. Ichthyophis moustakius differs from Northeast Indian congeners in having a unique mous-tache-like, arched, yellow stripe extending beyond its tentacles which, coupled with the number of AGs on its body (Kamei et al. 2009) serve to distinguish it. In dorsal view it has a U-shaped head, gently tapering anterior to the TAs (see also Chaitanya et al. 2017). The following morphological characters of the new material are also
consistent with the identification as I. moustakius (values in the bracket denote the ranges in the type series): AGs 280 and 286 (238-292), AGs interrupted by disc $5 / 5$ and $5 / 4(3 / 3,4 / 3,4 / 4,4 / 5$, or $5 / 5)$, AGs behind disc $7 / 7$ and $6 / 6$ $(4 / 4,5 / 5,5 / 6$, or $6 / 5)$ in the specimens MZMU 1758 and 1847, respectively.
Phylogeny. From the 16s rRNA tree (Fig. 1B), it is evident that $I$. multicolor from the type locality and from Mizoram are conspecific. Both populations differ from one another by an uncorrected $p$-distance of $0.014-0.017$. Ichthyophis multicolor as a whole (Mizoram+Myanmar population) is the sister taxon to the sampled Southeast Asian Ichthyophis such as I. cf. hypocyaneus (Van Hasselt in Boie, 1827), I. cf. kohtaoensis Taylor, 1960, I. cf.

Table 2. Genetic sequences ( 16 s rRNA ) used for phylogenetic analysis.

| Species | Genebank accession number | Locality | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caudacaecilia cf. asplenia | AB686161 | Malaysia, Sabah, Tawau | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Epicrionops marmoratus | AY101226 | Ecuador, Cotopaxi, San Francisco de las Pampas | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Ichthyophiidae sp. | AB686127 | Malaysia, Sabah, Ulu Senagan | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Ichthyophiidae sp. | AB686157 | Malaysia, Sabah, Ulu Senagan | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Ichthyophiidae sp. | AB686144 | Malaysia, Pahang, Temerloh | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Ichthyophis bannanicus | AY101235 | China, Yunnan, Longlin | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Uraeotyphlus bombayensis | DQ919054 | India, Tamil Nadu, Kanyakumari | Gower et al. 2006 |
| Ichthyophis cf. hypocyaneus | AB686166 | Indonesia, Central Java, Pekalongan | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Ichthyophis cf. beddomei | AY101230 | India, Kerala, Wayanad District, near Periy | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Ichthyophis cf. kohtaoensis | AB686146 | Malaysia, Johor, Ledang | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Ichthyophis cf. mindanaoensis | AB686120 | Philippine, Mindanao | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Ichthyophis cf. supachaii | AB686168 | Malaysia, Terengganu, Hulu Terengganu | Nishikawa et al. 2012 |
| Ichthyophis cf. tricolor | AY101228 | India, Kerala, Idukki District, near Vandiperiy | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Ichthyophis glutinosus | AY101234 | SriLanka, Central Province, near Peradeniy | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Ichthyophis Iongicephalus | J0040048 |  | Unpublished |
| Ichthyophis moustakius (MZMU 1758) | MZ098158 | India, Mizoram, Mamit District, Dampa Tiger Reserve | Present study |
| Ichthyophis moustakius (MZMU 1847) | MZ098159 | India, Mizoram, Aizawl District, Thakthing | Present study |
| Ichthyophis multicolor | FR716010 | Myanmar, Ayeyarwady Region, Mwe Hauk Village | Wilkilson et al. 2014 |
| Ichthyophis multicolor | FR716013 | Myanmar, Ayeyarwady Region, Mwe Hauk Village | Wilkilson et al. 2015 |
| Ichthyophis multicolor | FR716012 | Myanmar, Ayeyarwady Region, Mwe Hauk Village | Wilkilson et al. 2016 |
| Ichthyophis multicolor | FR716011 | Myanmar, Ayeyarwady Region, Mwe Hauk Village | Wilkilson et al. 2017 |
| Ichthyophis multicolor (MZMU 1739) | MZ098156 | India, Mizoram, Aizawl District, Melthum Village | Present study |
| Ichthyophis multicolor (MZMU 1740) | MZ098155 | India, Mizoram, Aizawl District, Mission Vengthlang | Present study |
| Ichthyophis multicolor (MZMU 1541) | MZ098157 | India, Mizoram, Aizawl District, Tlangnuam | Present study |
| Ichthyophis orthoplicatus | AY101233 | SriLanka, Province of Uva, near Passar | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Uraeotyphlus cf. oommeni | AY101224 | India, Kerala, Idukki District, near Vandiperiy | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Uraeotyphlus cf. oxyurus | AY101223 | India, Kerala, Kannur District, near Payyanu | Gower et al. 2002 |
| Uraeotyphlus narayani | AY101222 | India, Kerala, Kottayam District, Kannam | Gower et al. 2002 |

supachaii Taylor, 1960, I. bannanicus Yang, 1984, and an unknown Ichthyophiidae sp.). Ichthyophis moustakius was seen to be more closely related to Sri Lankan species (I. glutinosus and I. orthoplicatus).

## Discussion

Dubois et al. (2021) recently allocated I. asplenius Taylor, 1965, I. bannanicus, I. biangularis Taylor, 1965, I. larutensis Taylor, 1960, I. longicephalus Pillai, 1986, and I. tricolor Annandale, 1909 to the genus Epicrium Wagler, 1828, and found that Epicrium and Ichthyophis are sister taxa, and they together (Epicrium + Ichthyophis) are sister taxa to Uraeotyphlus W. Peters, 1879. Dubois et al. (2021) also allocated Ichthyophis bombayensis Taylor, 1960 to Uraeotyphlus. Although Dubois et al (2021) did not mention the generic allocation of I. multicolor and I. moustakius, it is very likely that I. multicolor belongs to the genus Epicrium and that I. moustakius should remain in the genus Ichthyophis along with its Sri Lankan counterparts, I. glutinosus and I. orthoplicatus (Fig. 1).

In both molecular and morphological aspects, the new specimens of I. multicolor from Mizoram substantially agree with the original species descriptions provided by Wilkinson et al. (2014). Our new records of I. multicolour
extend the range of this species by 840 km northwest from the type locality. The Mizoram records also represent a substantial extension in elevational range, from 10 m in Myanmar to $272-1030 \mathrm{~m}$ in Northeast India. This large horizontal and vertical range is unusual for caecilians, but is not entirely exceptional within Ichthyophioidea, with $U$. bombayensis distributed along $1,500 \mathrm{~km}$ of peninsular India from close to sea level to the hills of the Western Ghats (Gower et al. 2007). Our study also found preliminary evidence for a potentially close relationship between Northeast Indian I. moustakius and Sri Lankan I. glutinosus and I. orthoplicatus-which supports the understanding (see Agarwal et al. 2020) that Northeast India has the elements of Sri Lankan biogeographic realms in addition to Indo-Malayan, Indo-Chinese, and Indian biogeographical realms.

At present, I. multicolor is not evaluated by the IUCN, as there is scant of information on the biology of this species. However, Wilkinson et al. (2014) suggested that this species should be categorized as Data Deficient given that little is known about its geographic range or environmental requirements and tolerances. With the current range extension, this lack of knowledge becomes more apparent, and we reiterate Wilkinson et al.'s (2014) suggestion that I. multicolor is a Data Deficient species.
Table 3. Uncorrected $p$-distance ( 16 s rRNA ) among Ichthyophis species. GenBank accession numbers are in parenthesis.

|  | Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ichthyophis multicolor (M2098155) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | IChthyophis multicolor (M2098156) | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Ichthyophis multicolor (M2098157) | 0.002 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | leththyophis multicior (FR716010) | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | lchthyophis multicolor (FR716011) | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | \|chthyophis multicior ( (FR716012) | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | lchthyophis multicoor (FR716013) | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | IChthyophis moustakius (MZ098158) | 0.076 | 0.088 | 0.087 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Ichthyophis moustakius (MZO98159) | 0.088 | 0.106 | 0.091 | 0.087 | 0.087 | 0.087 | 0.087 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Uraettyphlus bombayensis (D0919054) | 0.104 | 0.118 | 0.112 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.106 | 0.122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Uraeotyphlus cf. oommeni (AY101224) | 0.158 | 0.170 | 0.171 | 0.154 | 0.154 | 0.154 | 0.154 | 0.152 | 0.175 | 0.123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Uraeotyphlus narayani (AY101222) | 0.166 | 0.177 | 0.180 | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.155 | 0.177 | 0.129 | 0.112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Uraeotyphlus cf. oxyurus (AY101223) | 0.171 | 0.181 | 0.189 | 0.169 | 0.169 | 0.169 | 0.169 | 0.153 | 0.176 | 0.132 | 0.112 | 0.045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | \|chthyophis Iongicephalus (J0040048) | 0.077 | 0.087 | 0.081 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.079 | 0.090 | 0.120 | 0.161 | 0.183 | 0.185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | IChthyophis cf. friciolor (AY101228) | 0.083 | 0.097 | 0.092 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.075 | 0.089 | 0.107 | 0.142 | 0.166 | 0.166 | 0.057 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Ichthyophis cf. beddomei (AY101230) | 0.089 | 0.104 | 0.099 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.066 | 0.080 | 0.111 | 0.133 | 0.164 | 0.163 | 0.072 | 0.057 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Ichthyophis gutinosus (AY101234) | 0.073 | 0.083 | 0.081 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.046 | 0.056 | 0.106 | 0.158 | 0.154 | 0.156 | 0.087 | 0.078 | 0.076 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Ichthyophis orthoplicatus (AY101233) | 0.083 | 0.098 | 0.093 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.060 | 0.073 | 0.114 | 0.158 | 0.170 | 0.172 | 0.089 | 0.076 | 0.078 | 0.039 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Ichthyophis cf. hypocyaneus (AB686166) | 0.080 | 0.097 | 0.089 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.073 | 0.082 | 0.124 | 0.153 | 0.164 | 0.159 | 0.099 | 0.090 | 0.092 | 0.088 | 0.094 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Ichthyophis cf. Kohtaoensis (AB686146) | 0.072 | 0.090 | 0.080 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.065 | 0.073 | 0.112 | 0.142 | 0.160 | 0.154 | 0.085 | 0.078 | 0.086 | 0.076 | 0.082 | 0.017 |  |  |  |
| 21 | Ichthyophis cf. supachaii (AB686168) | 0.066 | 0.080 | 0.074 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.063 | 0.071 | 0.112 | 0.142 | 0.164 | 0.158 | 0.088 | 0.078 | 0.082 | 0.072 | 0.082 | 0.015 | 0.014 |  |  |
| 22 | Ichthyophis bannanicus (AY101225) | 0.065 | 0.078 | 0.069 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.079 | 0.093 | 0.114 | 0.138 | 0.154 | 0.156 | 0.075 | 0.079 | 0.074 | 0.087 | 0.089 | 0.060 | 0.062 | 0.064 |  |
| 23 | Ichthyophiidae sp. (AB6866144) | 0.053 | 0.063 | 0.058 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.062 | 0.069 | 0.117 | 0.145 | 0.164 | 0.161 | 0.077 | 0.075 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.077 | 0.061 | 0.055 | 0.052 | 0.062 |



Figure 3. Ichthyophis moustakius. A. MZMU 1847 in life. B. MZMU 1847 in preservation. C. Dorsolateral view of the head of MZMU 1758 with the characteristic moustache-like, arched, yellow stripe between tentacular aperture and nostril.
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